# **EXHIBIT 189**

to the Declaration of Dean M. Harvey in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition Briefs

**REDACTED VERSION** 

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

28

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 33, defendant Adobe Systems Inc. ("Adobe") hereby responds and objects to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories re: Identification of Witnesses.

#### **INITIAL STATEMENT**

Adobe's responses and objections to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories re:

Identification of Witnesses are based on Adobe's current information after a reasonably diligent search. These responses and objections are subject to change based on additional information that may come to light as a result of discovery and further investigation. Adobe expressly reserves the right to supplement, amend, or correct any or all of the responses.

#### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

Adobe asserts the following General Objections. Each individual response is subject to, and is limited in accordance with, the following General Objections.

- 1. Adobe objects to the "Definitions," "Instructions," and each Interrogatory to the extent that they seek to impose obligations beyond those imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Local Rules of this Court, or any order entered by the Court in this action.
- 2. Adobe objects to each Interrogatory to the extent that it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or doctrine. No such information will be produced, and any inadvertent production shall not be deemed a waiver of any privilege or protection. Adobe will refer to this objection as the "Privilege Objection."
- 3. Adobe objects to this discovery to the extent it requests information that qualifies for protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c), including without limitation trade secrets, proprietary information, other confidential commercial information or sensitive information or information the disclosure of which is prohibited by federal or state law, rule or regulation. This objection will hereafter be referred to as the "Confidential Information Objection."
- 4. Adobe objects to this discovery to the extent that the terms used are so amorphous and overbroad that they either make the request if literally read so overbroad and burdensome as

to be unreasonable and beyond the bounds of relevance and/or make it difficult for Adobe to ascertain, with specificity sufficient to allow Adobe to conduct a search, what information Plaintiffs are seeking. This objection will hereafter be referred to as the "Vague and Ambiguous Objection."

- 5. Adobe objects to this discovery to the extent the scope of the Interrogatory is overbroad and burdensome. This occurs when the discovery seeks information that is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence or where the burden of producing the requested information far outweighs their relevance to the claims or defenses or the benefit to Plaintiffs. This objection will hereafter be referred to as the "Burden Objection."
- 6. Adobe objects to the extent that this discovery violates the applicable procedural statutes or rules to the extent that it is compound, conjunctive or disjunctive, resulting in Plaintiffs' disguising the true amount of discovery they are taking and makes the call of the interrogatory impossible to ascertain. Adobe will treat each subpart as a separately propounded interrogatory. This objection will hereafter be referred to as the "Compound Objection."
- 7. By responding to an Interrogatory with a defined term, Adobe is not by implication agreeing with any such definition.
- 8. Adobe objects to the definition of "agreement" as argumentative, misleading, vague and ambiguous, assuming facts not in evidence, and to the extent it purports to reach a legal conclusion.
- 9. Adobe interprets "cold-calling" and "cold-call" to mean communicating directly in any manner (including, without limitation, orally, in writing, telephonically, or electronically) with a potential employee who has not applied for a job or otherwise initiated contact with the entity making the cold-call.
- 10. Adobe objects to the definition of "co-conspirators" as argumentative, assuming facts not in evidence, and to the extent that it purports to reach a legal conclusion regarding the defendants.
- 11. Adobe objects to the use of term "competitor" in Interrogatory Nos. 5, 7 and 8 as undefined, vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome, misleading and seeking

information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. In light of the use of the defined term "agreements" and without regard to whether Adobe competed with any defendant, Adobe interprets "competitors" to refer to the defendants in this case.

- 12. Adobe objects to the definition of the terms "you," "your," and "your company" in paragraph 14 as overbroad, unduly burdensome, seeking information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and seeking information not in the possession, custody or control of Adobe. Adobe further objects to the extent it purports to impose a duty on Adobe to obtain information in the possession, custody or control of others, including third-party entities. Adobe interprets "you," "your," and "your company" to refer to Adobe Systems Inc.
- 13. Adobe objects to each interrogatory to the extent it calls for disclosure of information or materials already available to Plaintiffs or Plaintiffs' counsel.
- 14. Adobe objects to each interrogatory to the extent it seeks identification of "all" persons or employees as overbroad, unduly burdensome, seeking information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, and seeking information not in the possession, custody or control of Adobe. Adobe further objects to the extent it purports to impose a duty on Adobe to obtain information in the possession, custody or control of others, including third-party entities or to the extent that answering fully would require Adobe to interview each of its past and current employees.
- 15. Adobe objects to Instruction No. 1 as being compound, overbroad, unduly burdensome, requesting information not in the possession of Adobe and as seeking to impose obligations beyond those established by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Local Rules of this Court or any order entered by the Court in this action. For example, Adobe is under no obligation to determine whether employees, agents or executives have agreed to accept service through counsel. Adobe remains open to meet and confer with plaintiffs about service issues. Adobe further objects to the phrase "the years about which the person has the knowledge identified by the interrogatory" as vague, ambiguous, and unduly burdensome. Adobe will provide the

person's name, job title from January 1, 2004 to the present, state of residence, and, for former employees, last known address.

- 16. Adobe objects to Instructions 3 and 5 to the extent seeking to impose obligations beyond those established by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Local Rules of this Court or any order entered by the Court in this action.
- 17. Adobe objects to the relevant time period identified in Instruction Nos. 1 and 4 as overbroad and unduly burdensome. For the purposes of Adobe's responses, the relevant time period will be January 1, 2004 through March 13, 2009, the date Adobe received a Civil Investigative Demand regarding recruiting practices.
- 18. No admission of any kind is to be implied or inferred from these responses. The fact that Adobe has responded to any Interrogatory is not an admission or concession of the existence of any facts set forth or assumed by such Interrogatory or that the response constitutes evidence of any fact set forth or assumed.

## SPECIFIC RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO REQUESTS INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

In order of corporate seniority, identify your employees who participated in decisions regarding agreements or discussions about agreements.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:**

Adobe asserts the Vague and Ambiguous, Privilege, Burden, and Compound Objections. Adobe also objects to the terms "participated," "decisions," "agreements," and "discussions about agreements" as vague, ambiguous, overbroad, and unduly burdensome. Adobe further objects to the extent the interrogatory assumes that there was an agreement between Adobe and any other defendant other than Apple. Adobe objects to the phrase "in order of corporate seniority" on the ground that it is undefined and may change over time.

Subject to and without waiving these objections and the General Objections, Adobe responds that the following employees participated in the decision to enter, or discussions in connection with entering, the non-solicit agreement with Apple:

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Name	Last Known State of Residence	Job Titles (Years)	Last Known Address
Chizen, Bruce*	CA	CEO – Corporate (12/16/2000-11/30/2007) Strategic Advisor to the CEO (12/1/2007-11/29/2008)	
Narayen, Shantanu	CA	EVP, WW Products (3/1/2001-1/13/2005)  President and Chief Operating Officer (1/14/2005-11/30/2007)  CEO – Corporate (12/1/2007-Present)	

<sup>\*</sup> Former employee

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 2:**

Of the persons identified in response to Interrogatory No. 1, identify all persons who have substantial knowledge of corporate decisions regarding agreements or discussions about agreements.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:**

Adobe asserts the Vague and Ambiguous, Burden, Privilege, and Compound Objections. Adobe objects to the phrase "substantial knowledge of corporate decisions regarding agreements or discussions about agreements" as vague and ambiguous. Adobe interprets the interrogatory to request the identity of employees who have substantial knowledge about the reasons Adobe entered the non-solicit with Apple and about discussions in connection with entering the agreement. Adobe further objects to the extent that Interrogatory No. 2 assumes that there was an agreement between Adobe and any other defendant other than Apple. Adobe objects to the phrase "in order of corporate seniority" on the ground that it is undefined and may change over time.

Subject to and without waiving these objections and the General Objections, Adobe responds as follows: See Response To Interrogatory No. 1.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 3:**

In order of corporate seniority, identify all your employees not identified in response to Interrogatories Nos. 1 and 2 who have known about agreements or discussions about agreements.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:**

Adobe asserts the Burden, Vague and Ambiguous, Burden, Compound, and Privilege Objections. Adobe objects to the term "agreements" and "discussions about agreements" as vague and ambiguous. Adobe objects to the term "known" as unduly burdensome to the extent that answering it fully would require Adobe to interview each of its past and current employees about knowledge about the non-solicit agreement with Apple or about discussions about such agreements. Adobe further objects to the extent that Interrogatory No. 3 assumes that there was an agreement between Adobe and any other defendant other than Apple. Adobe objects to the phrase "in order of corporate seniority" on the ground that it is undefined and may change over time.

Subject to and without waiving these objections and the General Objections, Adobe responds that as of the date of this response, Adobe has identified the following employees who had knowledge about the non-solicit agreement with Apple:

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Name	Last Known State of Residence	Job Titles (Years)	Last Known Address
Alvarez, Yuliya	CA	Tech Intern BS (3/15/2004-12/5/2004)  Employment Rep 1 (12/6/2004-3/31/2005)	
		Human Resources Asst 4 (4/1/2005-2/3/2006)	
		Human Resources Rep 2 (2/4/2006-5/31/2008)  Human Resources Rep 3	
		(6/1/2008-Present)	
Barnes, Brenda*	CA	Agency Temp (US-Exempt) (5/19/2003-11/14/2004)	
		Staff Employment Rep 5 (11/15/2004-3/31/2005)	

Name	Last Known State of Residence	Job Titles (Years)	Last Known Address
		Human Resources Rep 5 (4/1/2005-4/2/2005)	
Brennan, John*	CA	Sr Business Development Executive (7/12/2004-1/31/2008)	
		Sr VP, Corporate Development (2/1/2008-2/27/2008)	
Bruce, Martin*	CA	Financial Analyst 3 (3/6/2006-5/31/2007)	
		Financial Analyst 4 (6/1/2007-1/13/2010)	
Burkett, David	CA	Sr Dir, Prod Mgmt 7 (2/1/2003-12/2/2005)	
		VP, Marketing (12/3/2005-9/29/2008)	
		VP & GM (9/30/2008-Present)	
Collins, Heidi	CA	Employment Rep 3 (2/1/2003-3/31/2005)	
		Human Resources Rep 3 (4/1/2005-5/31/2005)	
		Human Resources Rep 4 (6/1/2005-8/15/2006)	
		Human Resources Rep 5 (8/16/2006-Present)	
Cottle, Karen	CA	Sr VP and General Counsel (2/28/2002-Present)	
Dancel, Grace  Dawson, Barbara	CA CA	Human Resources Asst 4 (9/20/2004-Present) Staff Employment Rep 5	
Dawson, Darvara	CA	(12/17/2001-3/31/2005)	
		Human Resources Rep 5 (4/1/2005-6/1/2005)	
		Mgr, Human Resources 4 (6/2/2005-1/31/2010)	
		Human Resources Rep 4 (2/1/10-2/1/10)	
		Mgr, Human Resources 2	

Name	Last Known State of Residence	Job Titles (Years)	Last Known Address
		(2/2/2010-2/7/10)	
		Human Resources Rep 5 (2/8/2010-Present)	
Demo, Murray*	CA	Sr VP and CFO (6/1/2000-12/2/06)	
		, ,	
Driscoll, Matthew*	CA	Mgr, Employment 4 (12/1/2000-3/31/2005)	
		Mgr, Human Resources 4 (4/1/2005-10/22/2005)	
Dyrdahl, Melissa*	CA	Sr VP, Corporate Marketing (6/2/2002-12/2/2006)	
Elop, Steven*	CA	President and Chief	
		Operating Officer (12/3/2005-12/6/2006)	
Erickson, Cheryl*	CA	Staff Employment Rep 5 (8/30/2002-3/31/2005)	
		Human Resources Rep 5	
		(4/1/2005-5/31/2006)	
		Mgr, Human Resources 4 (6/1/2006-5/31/2008)	
		Human Resources Rep 5 (6/1/2008-2/5/2011)	
Esparza, Mili	CA	Human Resources Asst 4 (5/30/2000-5/31/2003)	
		Employment Rep 1 (6/1/2003-1/31/2005)	
		Employment Rep 2 (2/1/2005-3/31/2005)	
		Human Resources Rep 2 (4/1/2005-12/2/2005)	
		Mgr, Human Resources 2 (12/3/2005-11/27/2007)	
		Human Resources Rep 4 (11/28/2007-Present)	
Gupta, Naresh	CA	Sr Dir, Software Dev 7 (5/1/2002-6/1/2003)	
		VP, Engineering (6/2/2003-3/22/2005)	

1		Logi		Logt Vaccon Address
1		Last Known		Last Known Address
2	Name	State of Residence	Job Titles (Years)	
3			Sr VP, Print and Publishing	
4			Business Unit	
4	Haynes, Philip*	NC	(3/23/05-Present) Staff Employment Rep 5	
5	Traylies, Filmp	INC	(7/12/2004-11/30/2004)	
6			Mgr, Employment 4	
7			(12/1/2004-3/31/2005)	
8			Mgr, Human Resources 4 (4/1/2005-7/31/2005)	
			(1/1/2003 //31/2003)	
9			Human Resources Rep 5	
10			(8/1/2005-8/10/2005)	
10			Mgr, Human Resources 4	
11			(8/11/2005-8/11/2005)	
12	Hoffman, Kim	CA	Mgr, Human Resources 4	
12			(4/10/2006-9/30/2008)	
13			Mgr, Human Resources 5	
1.4			(10/1/2008-Present)	
14	Hollowell, Christie*	CA	Human Resources Rep 2	
15	Christie		(12/16/2000-5/31/2005)	
			Human Resources Rep 3	
16	TT D: 1		(6/1/2005-8/21/2008)	
17	Horner, Digby	CA	VP, Engineering (6/1/2000-3/22/2005)	
			(0/1/2000-3/22/2003)	
18			Sr VP, Engineering	
19			Technologies Group	
17	Kessler, Natalie	CA	(3/23/2005-Present) Human Resources Asst 4	
20	11000101, 1 (444110		(4/5/2006-6/15/2007)	
21				
<u>~ 1</u>			Human Resources Rep 3 (6/16/2007-5/31/2010)	
22			(0/10/2007-3/31/2010)	
22			Mgr, Human Resources 2	
23			(6/1/2010-1/31/2012)	
24			Mgr, Human Resources 3	
25			(2/1/2012-Present)	
25	Koon, Ivan*	CA	Sr VP, Intelligent Documents	
26			Business Unit (8/17/2002-12/4/2005)	
	Lamkin, Bryan*	CA	Sr VP, Digital Imaging &	
27		-	Video Business Unit	
28	T -1 T.:	G:	(6/1/2002-2/21/2006)	
	Loke, Iris	Singapore	Agency Temp (US-Exempt)	

2	Name	Last Known State of Residence	Job Titles (Years)	Last Known Address
3			(6/1/2004-12/5/2004)	
1 5			Employment Rep 3 (12/6/2004-3/31/2005)	
5			Human Resources Rep 3 (4/1/2005-10/31/2005)	
7			Human Resources Rep 4 (11/1/2005-5/31/2006)	
)			Mgr, Human Resources 3 (6/1/2006-8/15/2006)	
)			Mgr, Human Resources 4 (8/16/2006-12/31/2008)	
			Mgr, Human Resources 5 (1/1/2009-Present)	
;	Matosian, Tanil*	CA	Mgr, Training 3 (10/16/1998-5/31/2004)	
-			Mgr, Training And Dvlpmt 3 (6/1/2004-3/31/2005)	
			Mgr, Human Resources 3 (4/1/2005-11/4/2007)	
,			Sr Program Manager 1 (11/5/2007-1/13/2010)	
	Malloy, Thomas	CA	VP, Engineering (6/1/2002-3/31/2004)	
1			VP, Chief Software Architect (4/1/2004-3/22/2005)	
			Sr VP, Chief Software Architect	
	McHargue,	CA	(3/23/2005-Present) Agency Temp (US-	
	Michelle*		NonExempt) (3/1/2004-9/12/2004)	
			Agency Temp (US-Exempt) (9/13/2004-12/5/2004)	
			Employment Rep 3 (12/6/2004-3/31/2005)	
			Human Resources Rep 3 (4/1/2005-10/31/2005)	

Name	Last Known State of Residence	Job Titles (Years)	Last Known Address
		Human Resources Rep 4 (11/1/2005-8/15/2006)	
		Human Resources Rep 5 (8/16/2006-6/16/2007)	
Meneses, Anita*	CA	Agency Temp (US-Exempt) (9/2/2003-3/21/2004)	
		Sr Administrative Asst 4 (3/22/2004-2/2/2005)	
		Executive Asst 5 (12/3/2005-2/27/2007)	
		Human Resources Asst 4 (2/28/2007-1/22/2009)	
		Agency Temp (US-NonExempt)	
Mills, Laura*	CA	(1/23/2009-11/21/2009) Mgr, Training And Dvlpmt 3 (6/1/2000-5/31/2003)	
		Mgr, Training And Dvlpmt 4 (6/1/2003-3/31/2005)	
		Mgr, Human Resources 4 (4/1/2005-1/13/2010)	
Morris, Donna	CA	Sr. Dir, Human Resources (7/1/2002-12/2/2005)	
		VP Human Resources (12/3/2005-3/2/2007)	
		Sr VP, Human Resources (3/3/2007-Present)	
Morrisey, Linda*	CA	Mgr, Training And Dvlpmt 3 (9/4/2001-5/31/2003)	
		Mgr, Training And Dvlpmt 4 (6/1/2003-3/31/2005)	
		Mgr, Human Resources 4 (4/1/2005-2/4/2009)	
Olhava, Stephanie*	CA	Employment Rep 1 (6/1/2001-4/30/2003)	
		Human Resources Rep 2 (5/1/2003-5/31/2005)	

Name	Last Known State of Residence	Job Titles (Years)	Last Known Address
		Human Resources Rep 3 (6/1/2005-9/12/2007)	
Ota, Karen	CA	Mgr, Training And Dvlpmt 2 (3/1/2002-5/31/2003)	
		Non Tech Project Mgr 3 (6/1/2003-2/15/2005)	
		Non Tech Project Mgr 4 (2/16/2005-3/31/2005)	
		Human Resources Rep 4 (4/1/2005-12/2/2005)	
		Mgr, Human Resources 3 (12/3/2005-5/31/2008)	
		Mgr, Human Resources 4 (6/1/2008-8/31/2009)	
		Mgr, Human Resources 5 (9/1/2009-5/31/2011)	
	****	Dir, Human Resources 6 (6/1/2011-Present)	
Rosemond, Elizabeth*	WA	Human Resources Rep 3 (3/3/2003-12/2/2005)	
Sastri, Suresh	CA	Employment Rep 3 (5/3/2004-3/31/2005)	
		Human Resources Rep 3 (4/1/2005-10/31/2005)	
		Human Resources Rep 4 (11/1/2005-9/15/2006)	
		Human Resources Rep 5 (9/16/2006-Present)	
Sebree, Jeanette*	CA	Agency Temp (US- NonExempt) (5/10/2004-12/12/2004)	
		Human Resources Asst 4 (12/13/2004-12/2/2005)	
		Human Resources Rep 2 (12/3/2005-11/27/2007)	
Shum, Conroy*	CA	Mgr, Budget, Fin Plng 3 (6/30/2003-5/31/2004)	
		Mgr, Budget, Fin Plng 4	

Name	Last Known State of Residence	Job Titles (Years)	Last Known Address
		(6/1/2004-12/2/2005)	
		Dir, Budget, Fin Plng 6 (12/3/2005-8/15/2007)	
		Director, Finance (8/16/2007-8/17/2011)	
Smith, Michelle*	CA	Sr Employment Rep 4 (8/9/2004-3/31/2005)	
		Human Resources Rep 4 (4/1/2005-2/28/2006)	
		Mgr, Human Resources 3 (3/1/2006-12/7/2008)	
		Human Resources Rep 5 (12/8/2008-1/13/2010)	
Stephens, James*	CA	Sr VP, WW Sales & Field Operations	
Stinson, Gloria	CA	(10/16/2001-12/1/2005) Sr. Dir, Human Resources	
		(12/1/1999-5/31/2004) VP Human Resources	
		(6/1/2004-Present)	
Townsley, Theresa*	CA	Sr VP, Human Resources (12/1/1999-11/30/2005)	
		VP Human Resources (12/1/2005-5/31/2006)	
		Dir, Human Resources 6 (6/1/2006-2/21/2007)	
Tum, Raymond*	CA	Financial Analyst 4 (1/15/2004-11/15/2005)	
		Mgr, Budget, Fin Plng 3 (11/16/2005-8/15/2007)	
		Manager Finance 3 (8/16/2007-11/30/2007)	
		(8/16/2007-11/30/2007)  Manager Finance 4	
Valenzuela,	CA	(12/1/2007-10/16/2010) Agency Temp (US-Exempt)	
Regina*		(3/28/2005-12/2/2005)	
		Human Resources Rep 3 (12/3/2005-1/31/2007)	

Name	Last Known State of Residence	Job Titles (Years)	Last Known Address
		Human Resources Rep 4 (2/1/2007-2/4/2009)	
Vijungco, Jeff	CA	Staff Employment Rep 5 (1/31/2003-11/30/2004)	
		Mgr, Employment 4 (12/1/2004-3/31/2005)	
		Mgr, Human Resources 4 (4/1/2005-5/31/2005)	
		Mgr, Human Resources 5 (6/1/2005-12/2/2005)	
		Dir, Human Resources 6 (12/3/2005-1/31/2008)	
		Sr. Dir, Human Resources (2/1/2008-8/31/2009)	
		VP Human Resources (9/1/2009-Present)	
Wahdwani, David	CA	VP, Engineering (12/3/2005-3/30/2008)	
		VP & GM	
		(3/31/2008-6/21/2010)	
		SVP, Business Unit (6/22/2010-Present)	

<sup>\*</sup> Former employee

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In addition, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(d), Adobe directs Plaintiffs to the emails or other documents Adobe has and will produce that identify employees who have knowledge of the non-solicit agreement with Apple.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 4:**

Of the persons identified in response to Interrogatory No. 3, identify those who have substantial knowledge regarding corporate decisions relating to agreements or discussions about agreements.

#### RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Adobe asserts the Vague and Ambiguous, Burden, Privilege, and Compound Objections.

Adobe also objects to the terms "substantial knowledge regarding corporate decisions," "agreements," and "discussions about agreements" as vague and ambiguous. Adobe interprets the interrogatory to request the identity of employees who have substantial knowledge about the reasons Adobe entered the non-solicit with Apple and about discussions in connection with entering that agreement. Adobe further objects to the extent that Interrogatory No. 4 assumes that there was an agreement between Adobe and any other defendant other than Apple. Adobe objects to the phrase "in order of corporate seniority" on the ground that it is undefined and may change over time.

Subject to and without waiving these objections and the General Objections, Adobe responds that the following employees have substantial knowledge about the reasons Adobe entered the non-solicit with Apple and about discussions in connection with entering that agreement:

Name	Last Known State of Residence	Job Titles (Years)	Last Known Address
Morris, Donna	CA	Sr. Dir, Human Resources (7/1/2002-12/2/2005)	
		VP Human Resources (12/3/2005-3/2/2007)	
		Sr VP, Human Resources (3/3/2007-Present)	
Townsley, Theresa*	CA	Sr VP, Human Resources (12/1/1999-11/30/2005)	
		VP Human Resources (12/1/2005-5/31/2006)	
		Dir, Human Resources 6 (6/1/2006-2/21/2007)	
Vijungco, Jeff	CA	Staff Employment Rep 5 (1/31/2003-11/30/2004)	
		Mgr, Employment 4 (12/1/2004-3/31/2005)	
		Mgr, Human Resources 4 (4/1/2005-5/31/2005)	
		Mgr, Human Resources 5 (6/1/2005-12/2/2005)	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Name	Known State of Residence	Job Titles (Years)	
		Dir, Human Resources 6 (12/3/2005-1/31/2008)	
		Sr. Dir, Human Resources (2/1/2008-8/31/2009)	
		VP Human Resources (9/1/2009-Present)	

<sup>\*</sup> Former employee

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

Identify your executives, employees, or agents who participated in multilateral meetings or communications with competitors in which agreements or discussions about agreements occurred.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

Last

Adobe asserts the Vague and Ambiguous, Burden, Compound, and Privilege Objections. Adobe objects to the terms "agents," "participated," "competitors," "agreements," and "discussions about agreements" as vague and ambiguous. Adobe further objects to this interrogatory as argumentative and to the extent that it assumes that Adobe had multilateral meetings or communications. Adobe objects to the extent that Interrogatory No. 5 assumes that there was an agreement between Adobe and any other defendant other than Apple.

Subject to and without waiving these objections and the General Objections, Adobe responds as follows: Adobe is unaware of any executives, employees, or agents responsive to this interrogatory.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 6:**

Of the persons identified in response to Interrogatory No. 5 above, identify those who have substantial knowledge regarding the substance of the agreements or discussions about agreements.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:**

Adobe asserts the Vague and Ambiguous Objection, Burden, Compound, and Privilege

**Last Known Address** 

Objections. Adobe also objects to the terms "substantial knowledge," "substance," "agreements," and "discussions about agreements" as vague and ambiguous and interprets it to mean the terms and conditions of the agreements. Adobe objects to the extent that Interrogatory No. 5 assumes that there was an agreement between Adobe and any other defendant other than Apple.

Subject to and without waiving these objections and the General Objections, Adobe responds as follows: Adobe did not list any persons in response to Interrogatory No. 5.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 7:**

Identify your executives, employees, or agents who participated in bilateral meetings or communications with competitors about agreements.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:**

Adobe asserts the Vague and Ambiguous, Burden, Privilege, and Compound Objections. Adobe objects to the terms "agents," "participated," "competitors," and "agreements." Adobe interprets this Interrogatory to request the identity of executives and employees who participated in bilateral meetings or communications with Apple about entering the non-solicit agreement with Apple. Adobe further objects to this interrogatory to the extent that it assumes that there was an agreement between Adobe and any other defendant other than Apple.

Subject to and without waiving these objections and the General Objections, Adobe responds as follows: The following individuals had bilateral meetings or communications with Apple about the non-solicit agreement with Apple:

Name	Last Known State of Residence	Job Titles (Years)	Last Known Address
Chizen, Bruce*	CA	CEO – Corporate (12/16/2000-11/30/2007)	
		Strategic Advisor to the CEO (12/1/2007-11/29/2008)	

<sup>\*</sup> Former employee

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 8:**

Of the persons identified in response to Interrogatory No. 7 above, identify those who

have substantial knowledge regarding the substance of the bilateral meetings and communications with competitors.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:**

Adobe asserts the Vague and Ambiguous, Burden, Compound, and Privilege Objections. Adobe objects to the terms "substantial knowledge," "substance," and "competitors" as vague and ambiguous. Adobe interprets this Interrogatory to request the identity of persons listed in response to Interrogatory No. 7 who have substantial knowledge about bilateral meetings and communications with Apple about entering the nonsolicit agreement with Apple. Adobe further objects to this interrogatory as argumentative and to the extent that it assumes that there was an agreement between Adobe and any other defendant other than Apple.

Subject to and without waiving these objections and the General Objections, Adobe responds as follows: See response to Interrogatory No. 7.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

Identify your employees who were involved in implementing, policing, or enforcing the discussion or agreements, or who have substantial knowledge of the implementation, policing, or enforcement of agreements or discussions.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

Adobe asserts the Vague and Ambiguous, Burden, Compound and Privilege Objections. Adobe also objects to the terms "implementing," "implementation," "policing," "enforcing," "enforcement," "discussion," and "agreements" as vague and ambiguous, assuming facts not in evidence, and to the extent they purport to reach a legal conclusion. Adobe further objects to this interrogatory to the extent that it assumes that there was an agreement between Adobe and any other defendant other than Apple.

Subject to and without waiving these objections and the General Objections, Adobe responds as follows:

Name	Last Known State of Residence	Job Titles (Years)	Last Known Address
Chizen, Bruce*	CA	CEO – Corporate	

1 2	Name	Last Known State of Residence	Job Titles (Years)	Last Known Address
3			(12/16/2000-11/30/2007)	
4 5			Strategic Advisor to the CEO (12/1/2007-11/29/2008)	
6	Morris, Donna	CA	Sr. Dir, Human Resources (7/1/2002-12/2/2005)	
7			VP Human Resources	
8			(12/3/2005-3/2/2007)	
9	Nauguan Chantana	CA	Sr VP, Human Resources (3/3/2007-Present)	
10	Narayen, Shantanu	CA	EVP, WW Products (3/1/2001-1/13/2005)	
11			President and Chief Operating Officer	
12			(1/14/2005-11/30/2007)	
13			CEO – Corporate (12/1/2007-Present)	
14	Townsley, Theresa*	CA	Sr VP, Human Resources (12/1/1999-11/30/2005)	
15 16			VP Human Resources (12/1/2005-5/31/2006)	
17			Dir, Human Resources 6	
18	Vijungco, Jeff	CA	(6/1/2006-2/21/2007) Staff Employment Rep 5	
19			(1/31/2003-11/30/2004)	
20			Mgr, Employment 4 (12/1/2004-3/31/2005)	
21			Mgr, Human Resources 4 (4/1/2005-5/31/2005)	
22			Mgr, Human Resources 5	
23			(6/1/2005-12/2/2005)	
24 25			Dir, Human Resources 6 (12/3/2005-1/31/2008)	
26			Sr. Dir, Human Resources (2/1/2008-8/31/2009)	
27			VP Human Resources	
28			(9/1/2009-Present)	

\* Former employee

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 10:**

Of the persons identified in response to Interrogatory No. 9 above, identify those who have substantial knowledge regarding the implementation, policing, or enforcement of the agreements or discussions.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10:**

Adobe asserts the Vague and Ambiguous, Burden, Privilege, and Compound Objections. Adobe also objects to the terms "substantial knowledge," "implementation," "policing," "enforcement," "agreements," and "discussions" as vague and ambiguous, assuming facts not in evidence, and to the extent they purport to reach a legal conclusion. Adobe further objects to this interrogatory to the extent that it assumes that there was an agreement between Adobe and any other defendant other than Apple.

Subject to and without waiving these objections and the General Objections, Adobe responds as follows: See response to Interrogatory No. 9.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 11:**

Identify your employees who have knowledge of the effect(s) of the agreements on the compensation of your employees, or on the compensation of any Co-Conspirator's employees.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:**

Adobe asserts the Vague and Ambiguous, Burden, Privilege, and Compound Objections. Adobe objects to the terms "effect(s)" and "agreements" as vague and ambiguous. Adobe also objects to the interrogatory as argumentative and to the extent that it assumes that the non-solicit agreement with Apple had an effect on compensation. Adobe further objects to this interrogatory to the extent that it assumes that there was an agreement between Adobe and any other defendant other than Apple. Adobe objects to the extent that this interrogatory seeks expert testimony.

Subject to and without waiving these objections and the General Objections, Adobe responds as follows: Adobe is unaware of any employees who have knowledge that the non-solicit agreement between Adobe and Apple had an effect on compensation.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 12:**

Of those persons identified in response to Interrogatory No. 11 above, identify those employees having substantial knowledge of the effect(s) of the agreements or discussions about agreements on the compensation of your employees, or on the compensation of any Co-Conspirator's employees.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:**

Adobe asserts the Vague and Ambiguous, Burden, Privilege, and Compound Objections. Adobe objects to the terms "substantial knowledge," "effect(s)," "agreements," and "discussions about agreements" as vague and ambiguous. Adobe also objects to the interrogatory as argumentative and to the extent that it assumes that the non-solicit agreement with Apple had an effect on compensation. Adobe further objects to this interrogatory to the extent that it assumes that there was an agreement between Adobe and any other defendant other than Apple. Adobe objects to the extent that this interrogatory seeks expert testimony.

Subject to and without waiving these objections and the General Objections, Adobe responds as follows: Adobe did not list any employees in response to Interrogatory No. 11.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 13:**

In order of corporate seniority, identify your employees who participated in discussions with any antitrust regulatory authority regarding agreements or discussions about agreements.

#### RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

Adobe asserts the Vague and Ambiguous, Burden, Privilege and Compound Objections. Adobe objects to the terms "agreements" and "discussions about agreements" as vague and ambiguous. Adobe further objects to the extent the interrogatory assumes that there was an agreement between Adobe and any other defendant other than Apple. Adobe objects to the phrase "in order of corporate seniority" on the ground that it is undefined and may change over time.

Subject to and without waiving these objections and the General Objections, Adobe responds as follows:

Name	Last Known State of Residence	Job Titles (Years)	Last Known Address
Cottle, Karen	CA	Sr VP and General Counsel (2/28/2002-Present)	
INTERROGATO	RY NO. 14:		
Of the persons identified in response to Interrogatory No. 13 above, identify all persons			
who have substantial knowledge of discussions with any antitrust regulatory authority regardin			
agreements or discussions about agreements.			
RESPONSE TO I	NTERROGA'	<u>ΓΟRY NO. 14:</u>	
Adobe asser	ts the Vague a	nd Ambiguous and Compound	Objections. Adobe objects
the terms "substantial knowledge," "agreements," and "discussions about agreements" as vague			
and ambiguous. Adobe further objects to the extent the interrogatory assumes that there was an			
agreement between Adobe and any other defendant other than Apple. Adobe objects to the			
phrase "in order of corporate seniority" on the ground that it is undefined and may change over			
time.			
Subject to and without waiving these objections and the General Objections, Adobe			
Subject to a	nd without wa	iving these objections and the C	General Objections, Adobe

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated: March 12, 2012

ADOBE'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' INTERROGATORIES, SET 1 [CONFIDENTIAL] Case No. 11-CV-2509-LHK

JONES DAY

Attorneys for Adobe Inc.

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I, Lillian Wong, declare: 3 I am a citizen of the United States and employed in San Francisco County, California. I am over 4 the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 555 5 California Street, 26th Floor, San Francisco, California 94104. On March 12, 2012, I served a 6 copy of the within document(s): 7 DEFENDANT ADOBE SYSTEMS INC.'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES RE: IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESSES 8 by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set 9 forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m. 10 by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon × fully prepaid, in the United States mail at San Francisco, California addressed as 11 set forth below. 12 by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope and affixing a pre-paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to a agent for delivery. 13 by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the 14 address(es) set forth below. 15 by transmitting via e-mail or electronic transmission the document(s) listed above × to the person(s) at the e-mail address(es) set forth below. 16 17 SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST 18 I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for 19 mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day 20 with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion 21 of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is 22 more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. 23 I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose 24 direction the service was made. 25 Executed on March 12, 2012, at San Francisco, California. 26 27 28

> PROOF OF SERVICE Case No. 11-CV-2509-LHK

1	SERVICE LIST					
2	Joseph Richard Saveri	Eric L. Cramer				
3	Eric B. Fastiff Brendan Patrick Glackin Dean Michael Harvey	Shanon J. Carson Sarah R. Schalman-Bergen BERGER & MONTAGUE, P.C.				
4	Anne Brackett Shaver Katherine M. Lehe	1622 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19103				
5 6	Lieff, Cabraser, Heiman & Bernstein, LLP 275 Battery Street, 29 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339	Telephone: (800) 424-6690 Facsimile: (215) 875-4604 ecramer@bm.net				
7	Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008	sschalman-bergen@bm.net				
8	jsaveri@lchb.com efastiff@lchb.com	Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
9	bglackin@lchb.com					
10	dharvey@lchb.com ashaver@lchb.com					
10	klehe@lchb.com					
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs					
12 13	Linda P. Nussbaum John D. Radice GRANT & EISENHOFER, P.A.	Craig Stewart JONES DAY 555 California Street, 26th Floor				
14	485 Lexington Avenue, 29th Floor New York, NY 10017	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 626-3939				
15	Telephone: (646) 722-8500 Facsimile: (646) 722-8501	Facsimile: (415) 875-5700 cestewart@jonesday.com				
16	lnussbaum@gelaw.com	Attorneys for Defendant INTUIT				
17	Attorneys for Plaintiffs George Riley	Donald M. Falk				
18	Michael F. Tubach Flora F. Vigo	Lee H. Rubin Edward D. Johnson				
19	O'Melveny & Meyers LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor	Mayer Brown LLP Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300				
20	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 984-8701	Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112 Telephone: (650) 331-2030				
21	Facsimile: (415) 984-8701 griley@omm.com	Facsimile: (650) 331-2060 dfalk@mayerbrown.com				
22	mtubach@omm.com	lrubin@mayerbrown.com				
23	fvigo@omm.com	wjohnson@mayerbrown.com				
24	Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.	Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.				
25						
26						
27						
28		PROOF OF SERVICE				

PROOF OF SERVICE Case No. 11-CV-2509-LHK

### Case 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 811-33 Filed 04/10/14 Page 27 of 27

Donn P. Pickett Zachary J. Alinder  John W. Keker Daniel E. Purcell	
7 III Linnals M. Hisamon	
Frank M. Hinman Bingham McCutchen LLP Three Embarcadero Center  Paula L. Blizzard Eugene M. Paige Keker & Van Nest LLP	
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: (415) 393-2000 Telephone: (415) 393-2000 Tolephone: (415) 393-2000 Tolephone: (415) 393-2000	
Facsimile: (415) 393-2286 Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188	
zachary.alinder@bingham.com jwk@kvn.com dpurcell@kvn.com	
7 Attorneys for Defendant INTEL CORP. plb@kvn.com EMP@kvn.com	
8 Attorneys for Defendant LUCASFILM	LTD.
Robert T. Haslam, III Emily J. Henn	
10 Covington & Burling LLP 333 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 700	
11 Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 632-4702	
12   Facsimile: (650) 632-4800   rhaslam@cov.com	
13 ehenn@cov.com	
Deborah A. Garza Jonathan Herczeg	
Covington & Burling LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW	
16   Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 662-5052 dgarza@cov.com	
jherczeg@cov.com	
Attorneys for Defendant PIXAR	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
PROOF OF Case No. 11-CV-2	SERVICE 509-LHK